



Date: 15/04/2024
Our ref: 01/FOI/24/012310/S

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: 01/FOI/24/012310/S

I write in connection with your request for information dated 14/03/2024, received by Greater Manchester Police (GMP) for the following information:

- 1. Please can you tell me if there is any recorded information to show any reasonable reason for a GMP Officer of any rank not to collect evidence within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police? If there is no reasonable reason or recorded information, please state this clearly in your response.*
- 2. Please can you tell me if there is any recorded information to show any reasonable reason for a GMP Officer of any rank not make an arrest if there is substantial evidence within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police to discharge the burden of proof? If there is no reasonable reason or recorded information, please state this clearly in your response.*
- 3. Please can you tell me if there is any recorded information to show any reasonable reason for a GMP Officer of any rank to commit any sort of offence as a Public Office Holder in the employment of Greater Manchester Police? If there is no reasonable reason or recorded information, please state this clearly in your response.*
- 4. Please can you tell me if there is any reasonable reason detailed in recorded information to evidence the definition of Contempt of Court given by Lord Diplock in AG V Times Newspapers 1974 at Paragraph 309 (as detailed below) is not a valid definition of the criminal offence of Contempt of Court which Greater Manchester Police would have to arrest a person for if the Contempt of Court offence as defined by Lord Diplock*

in the Spycatcher trial was evidenced to have been committed within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police? If there is absolutely none, please state this clearly in your response.

"The due administration of justice requires first that all citizens should have unhindered access to the constitutionally established courts of criminal or civil jurisdiction for the determination of disputes as to their legal rights and liabilities; secondly, that they should be able to rely upon obtaining in the courts the arbitrament of a tribunal which is free from bias against any party and whose decision will be based upon those facts only that have been proved in evidence adduced before it in accordance with the procedure adopted in courts of law; and thirdly that, once the dispute has been submitted to a court of law, they should be able to rely upon there being no usurpation by any other person of the function of that court to decide it according to law. Conduct which is calculated to prejudice any of these requirements or to undermine the public confidence that they will be observed is a contempt of court".

- 5. Is there any recorded information to show the Common Law offence of Malfeasance in Public Office is not a law that the Greater Manchester Police have to enforce if the offence is evidenced to have been committed within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police. If there is no recorded information to show that Greater Manchester Police do not have to arrest individuals who are evidenced to have committed this offence please state so clearly in your response and provide the Common Law definition of the criminal offence used by Greater Manchester Police to define the crime including all recorded information relating to the definition of the offence as well as all recorded information used by Greater Manchester Police to establish the matrix of the offence.*
- 6. Is there any recorded information to show the Common Law offence of Misprision of Treason is not a law that the Greater Manchester Police have to enforce if the offence is evidenced to have been committed within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police. If there is no recorded information to show that Greater Manchester Police do not have to arrest individuals who are evidenced to have committed this offence, please state so clearly in your response and provide the Common Law definition of the criminal offence of Misprision of Treason used by Greater Manchester Police to define the crime including all recorded information relating to the definition of the offence.*
- 7. Is there any recorded information to show the Common Law offence of Common Purpose is not a law that the Greater Manchester Police have to enforce if the offence is evidenced to have been committed within the jurisdiction of Greater Manchester Police. If there is no recorded information to show that Greater Manchester Police do not have to arrest individuals who are evidenced to have committed this offence, please state so clearly in your response and provide the Common Law definition of the criminal offence used by Greater Manchester Police to define the crime including all recorded information relating to the definition of the offence including citations etc.*
- 8. Please can you provide me with any recorded information which permits an officer of the Constabulary to refuse to witness the signature of a member of the public in an MG11 form? If there is no reasonable reason for an employee of GMP Constabulary to refuse to witness a member of the public's signature on an MG11 form, please state this clearly in your response.*

9. *If an employee of any rank is sent evidence of reckless or wilful criminal conduct whilst the Greater Manchester Police employee is dressed up as any sort of officer of the Greater Manchester Police which is signed for by any officer or employee of the Greater Manchester Police with a resignation letter, whether in artistic format or not, then the Greater Manchester Police employee does not resign immediately in const of the evidence signed for with the resignation letter, is there any recorded information to show this is not a dishonest omission to act contrary to S4(2) of the Fraud Act 2006 for gain as interpreted by S5 if the Fraud Act 2006? Please include the applicable recorded information detailing the sections of the Fraud Act 2006 in relation to the conduct prohibited by law in England as well as the interpretive sections of the Fraud Act 2006 the Greater Manchester Police use in the definition of any crimes they are required as a function to investigate. If there is no recorded information available to the Greater Manchester Police to evidence this type of serious misconduct is not Fraud by Abuse of Position as a Greater Manchester Police employee, please state this clearly in your response*
10. *Please can you tell me whether or not there is any recorded information to show that the decision in Majrowski V Guy's and St Thomas' NHS Trust [2006] UKHL 34 does not apply to Greater Manchester Police? If there is no recorded information to show that this case law does not apply to the Greater Manchester Police, please state this clearly in your response. If more than two Greater Manchester Police employees wilfully or recklessly cause alarm and distress to a member of the public whilst dressed up as a Dorset Police as a form of Harassment prohibited by the Serious Organized Crime & Police Act 2005 within 3 months is there any recorded information held on file to show that this is not criminal conduct prohibited by law in England which the member of the public could use the decision in Marjowski V Guy's & St Thomas' NHS to obtain remedy from the Greater Manchester Police as an employer using S3/3A of the Protection From Harassment Act 1997?*

Result of Searches

Following receipt of your request searches were conducted within Greater Manchester Police (GMP) to locate information relevant to your request. I can confirm that the information you have requested is not held by GMP.