

Date: 9th June 2025
Our ref: FOI/25/014889/L

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: FOI/25/014889/L

I write in connection with your request for information dated 04/06/2025, received by Greater Manchester Police (GMP) for the following information:

I am writing to you under the Freedom of Information Act 2000 to request the following information in relation to the unsolved murder of Lisa Hession, who was killed in Leigh, Greater Manchester, in December 1984:

1. Case Status Update:

A current update on the status of the investigation, including whether the case remains open and under active review by any specialist or cold case team within GMP.

2. Investigative Developments:

A summary of any substantive investigative actions or developments since the last public appeal, including the involvement of new forensic technologies or private-sector assistance.

3. Unreleased Evidence:

A list or description of any items of evidence collected during the investigation which have not previously been made public, including forensic evidence, physical items, witness accounts, or any geographic profiling data.

4. External Reviews or Reports:

Details of any third-party reviews or assessments of the case carried out by external policing bodies, forensic experts, or retired detectives.

5. Media Collaboration:

Information regarding whether GMP has worked with media organisations (e.g., Crimewatch) on any unreleased or archived reconstructions or broadcasts that have not been publicly shared since the 1980s.

I understand that some of the information requested may be sensitive or exempt under specific provisions of the Act (e.g., Section 30 – Investigations and proceedings conducted by public authorities). However, I would appreciate the release of any information that can legally and reasonably be shared under the scope of the FOIA, including redacted or summarised material if necessary.

Please confirm receipt of this request and respond within the 20 working days as required by the Act. Should any part of this request require clarification, I would be happy to provide further detail.

Result of Searches

Following receipt of your request searches were conducted within Greater Manchester Police (GMP) to locate the requested information and I can confirm the information requested is held by GMP. However, I am not obliged to provide the information requested as exemptions apply.

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires GMP, when refusing to provide such information (because the information is exempt) to provide you the applicant with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b) specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies.

The exemptions that apply are:

Section 30(1)(a)(b)(c) – Investigations and Proceedings Conducted by Public Authorities Section 38(1)(a)(b) - Health and Safety

Section 30(1)(a)(b)(c) is a class based qualified exemption; there is a requirement to carry out a public interest test, which can be seen below.

Section 38(1)(a)(b) is a prejudice based qualified exemption; there is a requirement to articulate the harm that would be caused in disclosing such information as well as carrying out a public interest test, which can be seen below.

Harm as per Section 38

This Act provides the public with a general right of access to recorded information held by public authorities. However, information disclosed under this Act is effectively released into the public domain, or to the 'wider world', and not only to the person making the request.

The pain and suffering caused to the victims' surviving families and friends is unquestionably as profound now as it was when the crime took place.

To disclose the exempt information into the public domain would undoubtedly have an impact on the health and safety of the surviving family members of the victim.

Disclosing such information could cause further distress and hurt to grieving families, many of who would no doubt still be greatly traumatised by the event. Such information being disclosed could resurface these traumatic experiences and cause the victim's families to again revisit this most terrible period of their lives.

Protecting the safety of the public is of paramount importance to Greater Manchester Police and information that would compromise such health and safety would not be disclosed within a response to a Freedom of Information request.

Section 30 - Factors Favouring Disclosure

To disclose the exempt information, into the public domain, would show Greater Manchester Police's accountability. It would also show Greater Manchester Police's use of public funds in continuing to investigate such a high profile crime.

Section 30 - Factors Favouring Non-Disclosure

Conversely to the factors in favour of disclosure, to publicly release information from an investigation that has been ongoing for several decades and remains open may prejudice that criminal investigation and seriously undermine Greater Manchester Police's ability to complete it to a full and correct conclusion.

It would also have an impact on the future law enforcement capabilities of Greater Manchester Police, as it would disclose policing investigative techniques, thus hindering any future prevention or detection of crime.

Section 38 - Factors favouring disclosure

There may be occasions when it is appropriate to disclose information that would have an impact on the health and safety of an individual or the public in general. In this particular case, I cannot identify any benefit to the wider public (in terms of health and safety) to disclose such sensitive details.

There are, however, more general arguments that must be considered such as openness and transparency, which would lead to a more informed public.

Section 38 - Factors favouring non-disclosure

Although some information may already be in the public domain due to the nature of the crime committed, and that disclosure under Freedom of Information Act is disclosure to the world at large with no specific controls, disclosure carries the potential risk of causing significant mental and physical harm and distress to the loved ones and friends of the victim.

Balancing test

When balancing the public interest Greater Manchester Police has to consider whether the information should be released into the public domain. Arguments need to be weighed against each other.

The most persuasive reason for disclosing the information would be openness. This needs to be weighed against the strongest reason for non-disclosure which is concerns for the health and safety, both mental and physical, of family and friends of the victim and the potential to prejudice the criminal investigation.

Having considered all the factors for and against disclosure it is my belief that in this case, the reason against disclosure outweighs the reason for disclosure and so the decision at this time to maintain the above exemption and withhold the information from disclosure