

**Date:** 15/06/2026  
**Our ref:** 01/FOI/26/016946/G

**FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: 01/FOI/26/016946/G**

I write in connection with your request for information dated 26/05/2026, received by Greater Manchester Police (GMP) for the following information:

**1. On 1 April in the following years, how many stolen vehicle examiners were employed by the force either as police officers or civilian staff?**

- i) 2016**
- ii) 2022**
- iii) 2023**
- iv) 2024**
- v) 2025**
- vi) 2026**

**2. On 1 April in the following years, how many stolen vehicle examiners employed by your force either as police officer or civilian staff were recognised as "Qualified Stolen Vehicle Examiners" by the National Association of Stolen Vehicle Examiners (NASVE)?**

- i) 2022**
- ii) 2023**
- iii) 2024**
- iv) 2025**
- v) 2026**

**3. On 26 May 2026 (or the nearest available date for which data is available), what was the average caseload of a "qualified stolen vehicle examiner" (as outlined in question 2) in your force?**

**4. On 26 May 2026 (or the nearest available date for which data is available), how many 'theft or unauthorised taking of motor vehicle' cases remained open.**

**5. Please provide any current Standard Operating Procedure/policy/checklist/decision tree/other internal guidance document that governs:**

**i) investigation of the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle**

**ii) how investigators must handle CCTV/video enquiries in those investigations, including what to do when CCTV is not available**

**iii) what material/information must be assembled before seeking a charging decision for the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle**

**6. For cases relating to the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle in the financial year 2025/26 in which an individual was charged, what was the average time, in days, between the reporting of the alleged crime and the individual(s) being charged**

**7. For cases relating to the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle in the financial year 2025/26 in which the investigation was completed with no suspect identified, what was the average time, in days, between the reporting of the alleged crime and the investigation being closed?**

#### **CLARIFICATION**

**For 'stolen vehicle examiners', please could this be interpreted as solely examiners who determine if a vehicle is stolen or not, and not general vehicle examiners who examine all types of vehicle crimes.**

#### **Result of Searches**

Following receipt of your request searches were conducted within Greater Manchester Police (GMP) to locate the requested information and I can confirm the information requested is held by GMP.

However, I am not obliged to supply you with all of the information held as exemptions apply.

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires Greater Manchester Police, when refusing to provide such information (because this information is exempt) to provide you, the applicant, with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b) specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies.

The information you have requested in **questions 1, 2 and 3** are exempt from disclosure by virtue of the following exemption: **Section 31(1)(a)(b) – Law Enforcement.**

Section 31 is a qualified and prejudice-based exemption and as such, subject to a harm and public interest test.

#### **31(1) - Evidence of Harm**

A Freedom of Information Act request is not a private transaction. Both the request itself and any information disclosed, are considered suitable for open publication. This is because under the Act, any information disclosed is released into the wider public domain, effectively to the world, not just to an individual.

Whilst not questioning the motives of the applicant, providing any further information relating to specific departments and functions within Greater Manchester Police would reveal our operational capability, which would be of intelligence value to criminals.

Disclosure of resources at the police's disposal, including resources within the GMP's stolen vehicle examination unit (SVEU) will mean that those members of the public who are committing crimes and pose a risk to the public, would be able to formulate ways to circumvent or overwhelm the SVEU. If disclosed the tactics used by GMP will become less effective and will mean that the police are not able to detect and prevent crime, apprehend, or prosecute offenders or to administer justice for the wider community.

There is always a duty of care to the general public and the Police Service has a clear responsibility to ensure the prevention or detection of crime, and the apprehension or prosecution of offenders is always delivered. There are several tactics available to the Police Service to ensure the effective delivery of operational law enforcement. In this case, specialist policing activity is required to undertake fair investigations where it may be necessary to progress a range of reasonable lines of enquiry.

As such, the disclosure of any further information which would hinder the forces law enforcement functions cannot be in the public interest.

**Factors favouring disclosure for S31** - Where public funds are being spent, there is a public interest in accountability and justifying the use of public money. Disclosure of the requested information would enable the public to assess whether the force has appropriately taken steps to help reduce and detect crime by such means as answering emergency calls in a timely manner. Release would enhance public debate as to whether the force is appropriately and effectively engaging with the threat posed by criminals.

**Factors against disclosure for S31** - Disclosure that reveals our operational capabilities would compromise law enforcement tactics. Criminals could use the information to target areas of weakness knowing that their activities are less likely to be detected. It may be used by criminals who are intent on pursuing their criminal activity, to identify and exploit the limitations of these resources.

To release information relating to operational capacity and capability utilised for examining vehicles that are recovered by police officers which are either suspected stolen, have no insurance or have had their identities altered, would have a detrimental effect on our policing capabilities. Therefore, to mitigate any risks, disclosure of this information needs to be protected, to ensure that those with intent to do so cannot manipulate it or undermine its purpose in any way.

Any disclosure of information which would compromise law enforcement tactics and thus lead to more crime being committed by reducing the opportunity for the prevention and detection of crime, would therefore increase the risk to public safety, which is not in the public interest.

### **Balancing Test**

Law enforcement is of paramount importance, and the Police service will not disclose information if to do so would undermine its purpose and place the safety of individual(s) at risk. Whilst there is a public interest in the transparency of using public money in policing appropriately and effectively engaging with the threat posed by criminals, there is a very strong public interest in safeguarding the integrity of police investigations and operations in this area.

As much as there is public interest in knowing that policing activity is appropriate and balanced in matters of law enforcement, this will only be overridden in exceptional circumstances. Any disclosure which hinders our capability and assists criminals cannot be in the public interest.

It is for these reasons that I have determined that the balance test favours non-disclosure of the requested information.

**Please see the remaining information below.**

#### **Question 4.**

We are unable to obtain the number of crimes that were open on a specific date, that data isn't available retrospectively without having taken a snapshot on the day in question. We can provide the number for nearest date take, which as of 1<sup>st</sup> June, was **699**.

#### **Question 5.**

GMP do not hold any recorded information that directly relates to:

- i) investigation of the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle***
- ii) how investigators must handle CCTV/video enquiries in those investigations, including what to do when CCTV is not available***
- iii) what material/information must be assembled before seeking a charging decision for the theft or unauthorised taking of a motor vehicle.***

Question 6.

No information held – GMP do not record averages for this.

Question 7.

No information held – GMP do not record averages for this.