

Date: 29th May 2026
Our ref: FOI/26/016937/F

FREEDOM OF INFORMATION REQUEST REFERENCE NO: FOI/26/016937/F

I write in connection with your request for information dated 26/05/2026, received by Greater Manchester Police (GMP) for the following information:

Under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, I am respectfully requesting access to public records related to the knife incident involving a male suspect wearing a pink hoodie, as reported by ITV News on January 24, 2025, involving officers from Greater Manchester Police in Oldham.

Reference article:

<https://www.itv.com/news/granada/2025-01-24/bodycam-footage-shows-brave-and-bold-police-officer-arresting-man-with-knife>

I am requesting the following records, where legally releasable:

- * Body-worn camera footage from responding officers***
- * Incident and arrest reports***
- * CAD / dispatch logs related to the incident***
- * Date, time, and location details of the arrest***
- * Any publicly releasable audio, video, or photographic evidence***
- * Any press releases or supplemental public documents connected to the case***

Result of Searches

Following receipt of your request searches were conducted within Greater Manchester Police (GMP) to locate the requested information and I can confirm the information requested is held by GMP. However, I am not obliged to provide the information requested as an exemption applies.

Section 17 of the Freedom of Information Act 2000 requires GMP, when refusing to provide such information (because the information is exempt) to provide you the applicant with a notice which: (a) states that fact, (b) specifies the exemption in question and (c) states (if that would not otherwise be apparent) why the exemption applies.

The exemption which applies to this request is:

Section 30(1)(2)(a) – Investigations and Proceedings Conducted by Public Authorities.

Section 30 is a class based qualified exemption; there is a requirement to carry out a public interest test, which can be seen below.

Factors Favouring Disclosure

To disclose the information requested into the public domain would show GMP's accountability and satisfy the public that investigations into the incidents are being conducted. It would also show GMP's use of public funds in investigating incidents.

Factors Favouring Non-Disclosure

We accept that there is a legitimate and important public interest in publishing information about the investigation however, conversely to the factors in favour of disclosure, to publicly release information that is related to an investigation that remains ongoing may seriously undermine GMP's ability to complete the investigations to a full and correct conclusion.

Whilst the investigation might be of interest to some, to disclose any details may still hinder the process needed to be followed.

Balancing Test

When balancing the public interest test GMP must consider whether the information should be released into the public domain, therefore arguments need to be weighed against each other. The most persuasive reason for disclosing the information would be the fact that disclosure would encourage transparency. This needs to be weighed against the strongest reason for non-disclosure, which in this case is the impact on GMP's ability to complete investigations to a full and correct conclusion. Therefore, having considered all the factors for and against disclosure it is the decision at this time to maintain the above exemption and withhold the information from disclosure.